The Crystal Structure of 2,11,20-Trithia[3.3.3](1,3,5)cyclophane

BY A. W. HANSON AND E. W. MACAULAY*

Biochemistry Laboratory, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada K1A OR6

(Received 10 September 1971)

Crystals of 2,11,20-trithia[3.3.3] (1,3,5)cyclophane, $C_{18}H_{18}S_3$, are monoclinic, probably $P2_1/c$, with $a=13\cdot19$ (2), $b=33\cdot35$ (5), $c=7\cdot06$ (1) Å, $\beta=93\cdot18$ (5)°, Z=8. A subset of weak reflexions is ignored, and the crystal structure is described in terms of a unit cell for which b is halved, and for which the space group is C2/c. Three-dimensional intensity data were recorded with a four-circle diffractometer and scintillation counter. The approximate structure was deduced from the Patterson synthesis and refined by block-diagonal least-squares analysis to a final R index of 0.064. Although the structure is necessarily disordered, the essential features of the molecule are revealed. The phenyl rings are parallel, and overlap each other quite precisely with an interplanar separation of $3\cdot19$ Å. There is some evidence of rapid flipping of one of the C–S–C linkages.

Introduction

2,11,20-Trithia[3.3.3] (1,3,5)cyclophane is one of a number of thia-bridged cyclophanes prepared by Professor Boekelheide and associates, and used in the synthesis of corresponding C=C bridged cyclophanes (Boekelheide & Hollins, 1970; Mitchell & Boekelheide, 1970; Boekelheide & Lawson, 1970). The material has also been prepared by Vögtle (1970), who reports that the nuclear magnetic resonance (n.m.r.) spectrum consists only of two sharp peaks, assigned to the aromatic and to the methylenic protons. The implied equivalence of the methylenic protons is difficult to reconcile with any static model of the molecule, for which the two conformational isomers (a) and (b) seem possible. Interconversion of these isomers can



occur if one or more of the bridges can be induced to flip from one stable position to another, and if such flipping occurred rapidly enough, equivalence of the protons would be inferred. The conformation in the crystal is found to be exclusively (a), but the diffraction effects are consistent with temperature-dependent flipping of one of the bridges.

Experimental

Crystal data

 $C_{18}H_{18}S_3$; F.W. 330.5; Monoclinic, $a = 13 \cdot 19$ (2), $b = 33 \cdot 35$ (5), $c = 7 \cdot 06$ (1) Å, $\beta = 93 \cdot 18$ (5)°; V = 3102 Å⁻³; $D_m = 1 \cdot 39$ (2); Z = 8, $D_x = 1 \cdot 41$; $\mu = 40 \cdot 2$ cm⁻¹, λ (Cu K α) = 1.5418 Å.

The absences noted on Weissenberg and precession photographs are consistent with the space group $P2_1/c$. However, it was found that the reflexions could be divided into two subsets, conveniently designated as 'strong' and 'weak'. Reflexions in the latter subset were invariably very weak or absent, and because this subset includes the systematic absences characteristic of $P2_1/c$, the space group assignment must be regarded as tentative. (At the working temperature of 20°C it was not possible to distinguish between $P2_1/a$ and $P2_1/c$, there being no observable h0l reflexions with either hor l odd. However, a few very weak reflexions with h odd appeared on a precession photograph of this zone taken at -180 °C.) Reflexions in the 'strong' subset (for which the intensity distribution was more typical) can be indexed for a monoclinic cell identical with that specified above except that the b axis is halved. The space group characteristic of this subset is Cc or C2/c. The approximate structure to be described is consistent with C2/c.

The crystalline material consisted of colourless needles, elongated along **c**. The specimen used for data collection was a fragment of such a needle, of dimensions $0.04 \times 0.04 \times 0.18$ mm, mounted about the long axis. The intensities were measured with a Picker fourcircle diffractometer and scintillation counter, using nickel-filteredCu K α radiation and pulse-height discrimination. The θ -2 θ scan method was used, with 20second background counts at the beginning and end of each scan. The scan range was 2° for $2\theta < 100^\circ$, and 3° otherwise. Reflexions were regarded as observed only if the net count exceeded 7 (deca)counts and 10% of the corresponding background count. Within the re-

^{*} National Research Council of Canada postdoctorate fellow. Present address: Unilever Corporation, Port Sunlight, Wirral, Cheshire, England L62 4XN.

gion explored $(2\theta \le 130^\circ; \sin \theta/\lambda \le 0.59)$, 837 of a possible 1328 reflexions were observed. The intensities were corrected for absorption, using a program of Ahmed (1970); corrections ranged from 1.23 to 1.43.

No attempt was made to measure all the reflexions of the weak subset. However, this subset was partially characterized by the following procedures.

(1) All independent reflexions with $2\theta \le 50^{\circ}$ were measured. Of 399 accessible reflexions in the weak subset, 147 were observed above background, and their average intensity was 22 (deca)counts. Of 129 accessible reflexions in the strong subset, 121 were observed, and their average intensity was 1730 (deca)counts.

(2) Precession photographs of the h0l and 0kl zones were taken both at room temperature and at -180 °C. There was no obvious change in the intensities of the strong subset, but those of the weak subset were about five times more intense at the lower temperature. The distribution of relative intensity within each subset did not appear to change appreciably with temperature. The effect of temperature on the weak subset was found to be completely reversible. These reflexions are invariably sharp when observed, and are indistinguishable in shape and size from those of the strong subset.

(3) The intensities of 002 (a strong reflexion of the strong subset) and 012 (one of the strongest reflexions of the weak subset) were measured diffractometrically at nominal temperatures of -180, 20, and 80 °C. The net counts recorded at these temperatures were: 002:

32,200, 32,800 and 31,400 (deca)counts; 012: 1030(11), 190(5) and 7(3) (deca)counts.

Structure determination

The space group C2/c was assumed for the approximate structure defined by the strong subset of reflexions. This assumption demands that the molecule occupies either a centre of symmetry or a twofold axis. The molecule cannot have a centre of symmetry and (as it turns out) does not have a twofold axis. Any structure conforming to this space group must therefore be disordered.

A reasonable trial structure, disordered by twofold rotation, was deduced from the Patterson synthesis and refined by block-diagonal least squares. The program used was that of Ahmed, Hall, Pippy & Huber (1966), which minimizes the quantity $\sum w \Delta F^2$. The weighting scheme (chosen to ensure reasonable cor.stancy of the weighted residual as a function of F_o and 2θ) was $w = w_1 w_2$ where

$$w_1 = (F_o/25)^2 \quad \text{for } F_o < 25$$

= 25/F_o \quad for F_o \ge 25
$$w_2 = 2.5 \sin^2 \theta \quad \text{for } \sin^2 \theta < 0.4$$

= 1 \quad for \sin^2 \theta \ge 0.4

(The nominal minimum value of F_o is 8.)

The hydrogen atoms were assumed to lie in reason-

Table 1. Final atomic parameters and their e.s.d.'s

These are referred to a unit cell for which b = 16.68 Å, and the space group is C2/c. S(2) is treated as a half-atom. The quantities given are fractional coordinates $\times 10^4$ for non-hydrogen, $\times 10^3$ for hydrogen atoms [equivalent positions $(0,0,0; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0) \pm (x, y, z; x, \overline{y}, \frac{1}{2} + z)]; U_{ij} \times 10^4$ Å², for non-hydrogen atoms (T.F. = exp $[-2\pi^2(U_{11}a^{*2}h^2 + + 2U_{12}a^{*b}hk + +)];$ r.m.s. displacements D_i along the principal axes of the vibration ellipsoids, in Å; isotropic Debye–Waller factors *B*, in Å² (hydrogen atoms only).

Atom			x	У	z	U _{ll}	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₂	U ₂₃	^U 33
S(2) S(20)	[s(11'])]	598(3) 1918(1)	4263(2) 617(1)	2589(5) 3702(2)	885(20) 583(7)	-65(14) 145(8)	254(18) 92(6)	360(14) 626(7)	-8(16) 276(8)	1066(24) 756(8)
C(3) C(4) C(5) C(6) C(7) C(8) C(9) C(10) C(21)	[C(1') [C(17' [C(18' [C(13' [C(14' [C(15' [C(16' [C(12'])))))))))))])] 	262(6) 322(4) 1180(4) 1196(4) 319(4) -562(4) -550(4) 1545(5) 2120(4)	3868(4) 2975(4) 2549(3) 1715(3) 1709(3) 1719(4) 2552(4) 1283(5) 1278(3)	589(14) 374(8) 894(7) 910(6) 319(7) -190(6) -186(8) -585(8) 1702(8)	1025(54) 690(33) 638(31) 508(26) 574(30) 554(30) 678(35) 604(35) 467(29)	165(37) 116(28) -40(27) -17(23) 6(25) 50(27) 216(31) -22(34) -24(25)	592(50) 232(25) 188(23) 133(19) 84(20) 33(20) 151(25) -23(25) 102(23)	552(38) 562(32) 462(27) 504(25) 537(30) 785(38) 790(39) 1088(51) 589(31)	369(41) 202(27) 68(24) -17(21) -89(23) 2(24) 211(28) -203(33) -4(26)	1402(70) 542(30) 448(28) 273(22) 364(22) 250(23) 446(30) 472(32) 537(30)
Atom	Dl	D ₂	D ₃				Atom	x	У	z	В
S(2) S(20)	0.18 (0.19 (0.27 0.24	0.34 0.32				H(3a) H(3b)	26(6) 98(9)	412(4) 399(7)	-29(10) 118(16)	5.0(1.7) 11.2(3.1)
C(3) C(4) C(5) C(6) C(7) C(8) C(9) C(10) C(21)	0.20 (0 0.18 (0 0.15 (0 0.15 (0 0.18 (0 0.16 (0 0.18 (0 0.20 (0	0.26 0.23 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23	0.43 0.31 0.27 0.24 0.24 0.28 0.32 0.34 0.25				H(7) H(9) H(10a) H(10b) H(21a) H(21b)	102(4) -112(4) -150(4) -209(5) 266(4) 236(4)	201(3)80(4)285(3)92(3)161(4)163(4)90(3)	40(8) -42(8) -167(8) -80(10) 207(9) 81(7)	3.3(1.3) 2.9(1.2) 3.0(1.2) 4.8(1.5) 3.7(1.3) 2.9(1.2)

able positions, and their parameters were refined, assuming isotropic thermal motion. The thermal motion of the non-hydrogen atoms was allowed to vary anisotropically. The atomic scattering-factor curves used were those of Hanson, Herman, Lea & Skillman (1964) for non-hydrogen, and that of Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965) for hydrogen. The curve for sulphur was corrected for the real part ($\Delta f' = 0.3$) of the anomalous scattering (*International Tables for Xray Crystallography*, 1962). In the final cycle of refinement no coordinate shift of a non-hydrogen atom exceeded one quarter of the corresponding estimated

standard deviation. The final parameters are given in Table 1. The observed and calculated structure amplitudes are listed in Table 2. The final agreement index $(R = \sum |\Delta F| / \sum |F_o|)$ is 0.064, for observed reflexions only. The agreement is satisfactory and, within the limiting assumptions involved, there can be no doubt of the essential correctness of the proposed structure.

Discussion

Details of the molecular structure are given in Fig. 1 and in Tables 3 and 4. Because of the disorder the aver-

Table	2.	Observed	and	calculated	structure	amplitudes	(x	10)
1 4010	<i>~</i> ··	00000000	ann	cuiculaica	Shucharc	umpinuucs	(\land)	10)

An asterisk denotes the threshold value of an unobserved reflexion. The indexing assumes the subcell for which the b axis has been halved, and the space group is C2/c.

r Salar - Sara Andra Cara Cara Cara Andra Andra Andra Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara C
2 115395785 153858587 15887 18887 18887 1885888 198789 188789 1888 18788 187 18 188588486 18888 1888 188888 2 115395785 1538589857 18877 188988 1889888 188988 188988 188988 188988 188988 188988 188988 188988 2 115395785 1538589857 18877 188988 1889889 188988 188988 188988 188988 1889888 188988 188988 1889888 2 1153957858 1538589857 18877 188987 188988 189988 189988 189988 188988 1889888 188988 1889888 1899888 189988
<pre>L 418619 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124</pre>
<pre>K 10577 32324125220 7287634853 72875259 73876257 72876377 778884 742 728 417199032485 429594595 45957 4589710195 4 R0 12057 47854517 12877 48853 72875257 128785257 128785257 72888 742 728 417199032485 429595255 459571 45895710195 4 R0 12878 48853 7287 12877 12877 12878525 128785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 10877 108785257 108785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 10877 108785257 128775257 128785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 128785257 10877 108785257 128775257 10877 108785257 12875257 12875257 12875257 108775257 12875257 12875257 12875257 108775257 12875257 12875257 108775257 12875257 108775257 12875257 108775257 12875257 108775257 12875257 108775257 12875257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 108775257 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 1087577 108757</pre>
<pre>K 317713 2859467345 45475853 485758537 48575854 485757 485757 485757 48584 100 49747858 485844757 3075251755 497578 485757878 4857578788 4857578 487578788 487578788 4875787888 4875787888 48757878888 487578788888 48757878888888888</pre>
FC 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
K Generatie alsenter interes formes formes formes for and an interest in
YANDALA YANANA YANANA YANNA YANA YANA YANA Y
<pre>x 144 11999485 2444755 2444755 2444756 245959 245955 24525 25525 25525 25525 2552 2552</pre>
<pre>K 39922 199777 19114787 191572 191579 194175 1957 1997 199 19971 197 19971 197 19971 19971 19971 1977 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 19772 1</pre>
4 Berne Bonne B

age molecule appears as two half-molecules related by twofold rotation. Except for S(2), which appears as two half-atoms, and the adjacent carbon atoms, which are somewhat elongated, the superposition is reasonably precise, and the essential features of the molecule can be observed. The conformation is of type (a). The bond lengths not involving S(2) and the adjacent carbon atoms are reasonable, but may be unreliable because of thermal motion (which may be non-rigid) and other systematic effects. The phenyl rings are planar, deviate from parallelism by less than 1°, and are separated by 3.192 Å. The C-C bonds of the bridges are inclined at 6° to the ring planes, indicating moderate strain. Except for the disordered bridge, the molecule has a non-crystallographic mirror plane midway between the phenyl rings. The exception is illustrated in



Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoids of 30% probability, (a) viewed along c, (b) viewed along b. Overlapped atoms have been omitted for clarity. The dashed line represents a possible path for S(2) during flipping.

Fig. 1(b); S(2) lies 0.2 Å from the median plane, and the long axes of the thermal-motion ellipsoids of the adjacent carbon atoms are inclined at about 40° to this plane.

Table 3. Bond	lengths	and some	angles
---------------	---------	----------	--------

Values in brackets involve the disordered bridge, and are unreliable.

	Distance		Mean		
C(4) - C(5)	1·369 (8) Å	1			
C(5) - C(6)	1.391 (7)				
C(6) - C(7)	1.385 (7)		1.38 Å		
C(7) - C(8)	1.378 (8)	ſ	1.30 A		
C(8) –C(9)	1.389 (9)				
C(9) - C(4)	1.388 (8)	}			
C(3) - C(4)	[1.499 (9)]				
C(6) - C(21)	1.502 (7)	1	1.50		
C(8) - C(10)	1.499 (8)	ſ	1.20		
S(2) - C(1)	[1.882 (10)]				
S(2) - C(3)	[1.599 (10)]				
S(11)-C(10)	1.821 (7)	1	1.82		
S(11)-C(12)	1.823 (6)	ſ	1 02		
C-H distances range from 0.75 to 1.04 Å.					

	Angle	Mean
S(2) - C(1) - C(17) S(2) - C(3) - C(4)	[117·0 (6)°] [119·0 (6)]	
S(11)-C(12)-C(13) S(11)-C(10)-C(8) C(1)-S(2)-C(3) C(10)-S(11)-C(12)	$ \begin{array}{c} 115 \cdot 6 \ (4) \\ 115 \cdot 2 \ (5) \\ 108 \cdot 2 \ (4) \\ 104 \cdot 7 \ (3) \end{array} $	115·4°

Table 4. Distances of some atoms from the mean plane of the phenyl ring $[C(4)\cdots C(9)]$ The equation of the plane is 0.3224x' + 0.0077y' - 0.9466z' + 0.0779 = 0 for an orthogonal coordinate system, in Å, for which $x' || \mathbf{a}, y' || \mathbf{b}, z' || \mathbf{c}^*$.

	Distance		Distance
C(4)	−0·001 (6) Å	C(3)	−0·161 (10) Å
C(5)	0.004 (5)	C(10)	-0.164(6)
C(6)	-0.010 (5)	C(21)	-0.161(5)
C(7)	0.013(5)	S(2)	-1.374(4)
C(8)	-0.009(5)	S(2')	-1.761 (4)
C(9)	0.003(5)	S(11)	-1.613 (2)
		S(20)	-1.616(2)

The simplifying assumptions of the analysis ensure that the observed structure is disordered. However, it does not follow that the true structure is entirely ordered, and various considerations suggest that it is unlikely to be so. Conformational disorder of a similar sulphur bridge has been observed in the crystal structure of the related compound *syn*-2,11-dithia-9,18dimethyl[3.3]cyclophane (Davis & Bernal, 1971). As mentioned earlier, rapid flipping of all bridges of the present compound is consistent with the otherwise anomalous n.m.r. results. The n.m.r. spectrum of an analogous nitrogen-bridged compound $\{N,N',N''$ -tritosyl-2,11,20-triaza[3.3.3] (1,3,5)cyclophane} has been studied over a range of temperatures by Vögtle &



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of a possible ordered, lowtemperature structure. (a) The projection along c. The stronger outlines represent molecules at $z=\frac{3}{4}$, the weaker at $z=\frac{1}{4}$. (b) The projection along b of the structure from y=0 to $y=\frac{1}{2}$. The stronger outlines represent molecules at about $y=\frac{3}{8}$, the weaker at about $y=\frac{1}{8}$.

Neumann (1970). At 35 °C the spectrum is comparable to that of the present compound, with a single sharp peak attributable to the methylenic protons. At -57 °C, however, this peak is found to have separated into an *AB* system of two doublets. A reasonable interpretation of this result is that the rate of flipping of the bridges (limited in any case by the inertia of the massive tosyl groups) is sufficiently reduced at the lower temperature to reveal the non-equivalence of the methylenic protons.

Such considerations suggest that rapid, possibly

temperature-modulated flipping of the sulphur bridges is a normal condition which will occur unless prevented. Two of the bridges, S(11) and S(20), are stabilized by intermolecular contacts, but there is no obvious reason why S(2) should not flip, and there is in fact some indication that it does so. Experiments with a model suggest that, on flipping, the sulphur atom will not remain on the median plane, but will instead follow a curved path resembling that shown in Fig. 1(b). The departure from mirror symmetry, mentioned earlier, is thus consistent with flipping. The end points of the path, lying on the median plane, represent the stable positions of the sulphur atoms, while the observed positions are merely the most probable positions at a given time. In a dynamic situation these need not (and in the present case apparently do not) coincide. The curvilinear motion will be accompanied by cooperative movements of the adjacent carbon atoms (and, to a lesser extent of the remainder of the molecule), resulting in the observed elongation of their thermal motion ellipsoids.

It is thus reasonable to predict that the crystal structure should suffer some degree of disorder. It is in fact difficult to see why there should be any long-range order, such as is suggested by the presence of the subset of weak reflexions. However, it seems likely that at a sufficiently low temperature the flipping of S(2) could be suppressed, and the structure ordered. It might then be as represented in Fig. 2, with space group $P2_1/c$, and the molecules lying on the twofold screw axes. The subset of weak reflexions for this structure would result, for the most part, from the contributions of the sulphur atoms lying closest to the twofold screw axes. With increasing temperature, thermal flipping of these atoms would ensue, with an increasing probability of their occupying alternative positions. The intensities of the reflexions of the weak subset would be thereby reduced. At a sufficiently high temperature (apparently above 80°C), the probability would approach 50%, and the reflexions of the weak subset would vanish. The translational elements of the screw axes would be lost. the *b* dimension would be halved, and the space group would become truly C2/c.

Computer programs used in this work are those of Ahmed, Hall, Pippy & Huber (1966), Ahmed (1970) and Johnson (1965). The specimen material was kindly supplied by Professor V. Boekelheide.

References

- AHMED, F. R. (1970). Crystallographic Computing. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- AHMED, F. R., HALL, S. R., PIPPY, M. E. & HUBER, C. P. (1966). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM/360 System. World List of Crystallographic Computer Programs. 2nd ed., Appendix, p. 52.
- BOEKELHEIDE, V. & HOLLINS, R. A. (1970). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92,3512.

BOEKELHEIDE, V. & LAWSON, J. A. (1970). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 1558.

- DAVIS, B. R. & BERNAL, I. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. In the press.
- HANSON, H. P., HERMAN, F., LEA, J. D. & SKILLMAN, S. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1040.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP Report ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- MITCHELL, R. H. & BOEKELHEIDE, V. (1970). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 1555.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175.
- Vögtle, F. (1970). Liebigs Ann. 735, 193.
- Vögtle, F. & Neumann, P. (1970). Chem. Commun. p. 1464.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 1260

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of the 1:1 Compound Formed between Phosphorus Pentachloride and Tellurium Tetrachloride, Cl₉PTe

BY P. H. COLLINS AND M. WEBSTER

Department of Chemistry, The University, Southampton, England

(Received 22 September 1971)

The compound Cl₉PTe crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group 12mb with Z=8 and with cell dimensions $a=12\cdot10$, $b=16\cdot64$, $c=12\cdot52$ Å. The structure contains discrete, approximately tetrahedral [PCl₄]⁺ cations, and polymeric chain anions in which each tellurium atom is surrounded by a distorted octahedron of chlorine atoms. The chain consists of octahedra linked by sharing two *cis* vertices. Using visually estimated three-dimensional photographically recorded data the structure was refined to a final R of 0.122.

Introduction

The chemical status of the pentahalotellurate(IV) complex anions is by no means clear, even though several compounds have been prepared which apparently, from the elemental analysis, contain these ions. Tellurium tetrachloride is a tetrameric solid (Buss & Krebs, 1970), containing six coordinate tellurium atoms [3 Te-Cl at 2.32 Å (av) and 3 Te-Cl at 2.93 Å (av)]. Under suitable conditions tellurium tetrachloride reacts readily with chloride ions to form the octahedral $[TeCl_6]^{2-}$ ion. Because of the chemical interest in species containing formal lone pairs of electrons, both the hexachloro- and hexabromotellurate(IV) ions have been structurally characterized by X-ray diffraction (Hazell, 1966; Das & Brown, 1966) and subjected to assorted vibrational spectroscopic assaults (see, for example, Adams & Downs, 1970; Adams & Lloyd, 1971 and references therein). It seems plausible that the addition of chloride ions to TeCl₄ occurs in a stepwise manner and that the species [TeCl₅]⁻ should exist. Ultraviolet and visible spectroscopy in acetonitrile solution gave evidence for a species, possibly [TeCl₅]⁻, formed when equimolar amounts of TeCl₄ and $[TeCl_6]^{2-}$ are mixed (Beattie & Chudzynska, 1967). Other studies have also provided evidence for $[TeX_5]^-$ ions (X=Cl, Br) (Korewa & Smagowski, 1965; Korewa & Szponar, 1965), but since all these solution reactions are carried out in ionizing and potentially coordinating solvents, it may well be that such pentahalo species are sufficiently solvated to influence the coordination geometry. The reported structure (Aynsley & Hazell, 1963) of uronium pentachlorotellurate (IV) is incorrect (Beattie, Chudzynska, Hulme & Aynsley, 1963).

In contrast to the chloro and bromo species the only known fluorocomplex of Te(IV) is the $[TeF_5]^-$ ion which exists as discrete square pyramidal anions both in solid KTeF₅ (Edwards & Mouty, 1969; Mastin, Ryan & Asprey, 1970) and in solution from F-19 nuclear magnetic resonance experiments. The structure of β -Me₂TeI₂ consists of $[Me_3Te]^+$ [MeTeI₄]⁻, the anion of which is square pyramidal with an axial methyl group (Einstein, Trotter & Williston, 1967).

Solid compounds apparently containing the $[TeX_5]^$ ion include $[Et_4N]$ $[TeCl_5]$ (Creighton & Green, 1968) and the mixed haloanion in $[Et_4N]$ $[TeCl_2Br_3]$ (Ozin & Vander Voet, 1971). The system PCl_5-TeCl_4 has been examined in AsCl_3 (Gutmann, 1953) and POCl_3 (Groeneveld, 1956; Groeneveld, 1952; Groeneveld & Zuur, 1953) and evidence for the compounds 2PCl_5, TeCl_4; PCl_5, TeCl_4 and PCl_5, 2TeCl_4 presented. Beattie has examined the vibrational spectra of the 1:1 compound Cl_9PTe (Beattie, 1967; Beattie & Chudzynska, 1967) which gave clear cut evidence for the $[PCl_4]^+$ ion. The structure of the anion is not clear but the observation of the chlorine isotope splitting pattern of v_1 of the cation suggests a relatively simple structure.

An examination of the compound Cl₉PTe was undertaken to establish the structure of the solid and in particular the nature of the anionic species present.